

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,844	04/16/2004	Wayne Spears	14443	2067
7590 02/03/2005		EXAMINER		
SHELDON & MAK			BOCHNA, DAVID	
225 South Lake Avenue, 9th Floor Pasadena, CA 91101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	3679
			DATE MAILED: 02/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/826,844	SPEARS, WAYNE					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
\ 2	David E. Bochna	3679					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply, is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
, 	is action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 April 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat onty documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/04 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D						

Application/Control Number: 10/826,844 Page 2

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the tapered threaded sections recited in claims 1-3 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/826,844

Art Unit: 3679

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

3. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komolrochanaporn in view of Higbee.

In regard to claims 1-2 Komolrochanaporn discloses (fig. 2) a pipe fitting comprising:

(a) a body 74 having a bore terminating in a bore opening, the bore opening being threaded with a plurality of internal bore threads 96 of a first material; and (b) a plurality starter threads 94 disposed either within the bore and being closer to the bore opening than the bore threads or being disposed immediately outside of the bore, the starter threads being axially aligned with the bore threads and being of a second material which is stronger than the first material. However, Komolrochanaporn does not disclose that the threads are tapered or that the last tapered starter thread has a pitch diameter that is at least 2% greater than the pitch diameter of the first tapered bore thread. Komolrochanaporn discloses making the threads out of strong starter threads in order to create a strong threaded connection that is also resistant to damage and that prevents leakage. Highee teaches tapering threads in order to increase the sealing properties of the threaded joint. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to taper the threads of Komolrochanaporn, as taught by Higbee, in order improve upon the sealing properties of the threaded joint. Although Higbee does not specifically disclose that one thread is at least 2% bigger than the next adjacent smaller diameter thread, it would have been obvious to make the size difference between the threads at least 2% because a change in the size of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. <u>In re Rose</u>, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Application/Control Number: 10/826,844 Page 4

Art Unit: 3679

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Stoll, Bollfrass et al., Greer, Van der Wissel, Graham, Frame, Simpson and Kennedy

all disclose similar couplings common in the art.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to David Bochna whose telephone number is (703) 306-9040. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30 Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (703) 308-2686. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

David Bochna

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3679

February 1, 2005